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CHAPTER 6

Gromov’s invariant and the volume of a hyperbolic manifold

6.1. Gromov’s invariant

Let \( X \) be any topological space. Denote the real singular chain complex of \( X \) by \( C_*(k) \). (Recall that \( C_*(X) \) is the vector space with a basis consisting of all continuous maps of the standard simplex \( \Delta^k \) into \( X \).) Any \( k \)-chain \( c \) can be written uniquely as a linear combination of the basis elements. Define the norm \( |c| \) of \( c \) to be the sum of the absolute values of its coefficients,

\[
|c| = \sum |a_i| \quad \text{where} \quad c = \sum a_i \sigma_i, \quad \sigma_i : \Delta^k \to X.
\]

Gromov’s norm on the real singular homology (really it is only a pseudo-norm) is obtained from this norm on cycles by passing to homology: if \( a \in H_k(X; \mathbb{R}) \) is any homology class, then the norm of \( a \) is defined to be the infimum of the norms of cycles representing \( a \).

**Definition 6.1.2 (First definition).**

\[
||a|| = \inf \{ ||z|| \mid z \text{ is a singular cycle representing } a \}.
\]

It is immediate that

\[
||a + b|| \leq ||a|| + ||b||
\]

and for \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \),

\[
||\lambda a|| \leq |\lambda| \|a\|.
\]

If \( f : X \to Y \) is any continuous map, it is also immediate that

\[
||f_* a|| \leq ||a||.
\]

In fact, for any cycle \( \sum a_i \sigma_i \) representing \( a \), the cycle \( \sum a_i f \circ \sigma_i \) represents \( f_* a \), and

\[
||\sum a_i f \circ \sigma_i|| = \sum |a_i| \leq \|\sum a_i \sigma_i||. \quad \text{(It may happen that } f \circ \sigma_i = f \circ \sigma_j; \text{ even when } \sigma_i \neq \sigma_j.) \quad \text{Thus } ||f_* a|| \leq \inf ||a_i f \circ \sigma_i|| \leq ||a||.
\]

In particular, the norm of the fundamental class of a closed oriented manifold \( M \) gives a characteristic number of \( M \), Gromov’s invariant of \( M \), satisfying the inequality that for any map \( f : M_1 \to M_2 \),

\[
||[M_1]|| \geq |\deg f| \ ||[M_2]||.
\]
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What is not immediate from the definition is the existence of any non-trivial examples where \( \| [M] \| \neq 0 \).

**Example.** The \( n \)-sphere \( n \geq 1 \) admits maps \( f : S^n \to S^n \) of degree 2 (and higher). As a consequence of 6.1.2 \( \| [S^n] \| = 0 \). More explicitly, one may picture a sequence \( \{ z_i \} \) representing the fundamental class of \( S^1 \), where \( z_i \) is \( (\frac{1}{i}) \sigma_i \) and \( \sigma_i \) wraps a 1-simplex \( i \) times around \( S^1 \). Since \( \| z_i \| = \frac{1}{i} \), \( \| [S^1] \| = 0 \).

As a trivial example, \( \| [S^0] \| = 2 \).

Consider now the case of a complete hyperbolic manifold \( M^n \). Any \( k + 1 \) points \( v_0, \ldots, v_k \) in \( M^n = H^n \) determine a *straight k-simplex* \( \sigma_{v_0, \ldots, v_k} : \Delta^k \to H^n \), whose image is the convex hull of \( v_0, \ldots, v_k \). There are various ways to define canonical parametrizations for \( \sigma_{v_0, \ldots, v_k} \); here is an explicit one. Consider the quadratic form model for \( H^n \) (§2.5). In this model, \( v_0, \ldots, v_k \) become points in \( \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \), so they determine an affine simplex \( \alpha \). [In barycentric coordinates, \( \alpha(t_0, \ldots, t_k) = \sum t_i v_i \). This parametrization is natural with respect to affine maps of \( \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \).] The central projection from \( O \) of \( \alpha \) back to one sheet of hyperboloid \( Q = x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 - x_{n+1}^2 = -1 \) gives a parametrized straight simplex \( \sigma_{v_0, \ldots, v_k} \) in \( H^n \), natural with respect to isometries of \( H^n \).

Any singular simplex \( \tau : \Delta^k \to M \) can be lifted to a singular simplex \( \tilde{\tau} \) in \( \tilde{M} = H^n \), since \( \Delta^k \) is simply connected. Let \( \text{straight} (\tilde{\tau}) \) be the straight simplex with the same vertices as \( \tilde{\tau} \) and let \( \text{straight}(\tau) \) be the projection of \( \tilde{\tau} \) back to \( M \). Since the straightening operation is natural, \( \text{straight}(\tau) \) does not depend on the lift \( \tilde{\tau} \). Straight extends linearly to a chain map

\[
\text{straight} : C_*(M) \to C_*(M),
\]

chain homotopic to the identity. (The chain homotopy is constructed from a canonical homotopy of each simplex \( \tau \) to \( \text{straight}(\tau) \).) It is clear that for any chain \( c \), \( \| \text{straight} (c) \| \leq \| c \| \). Hence, in the computation of the norm of a homology class in \( M \), it suffices to consider only straight simplices.

**Proposition 6.1.4.** There is a finite supremum \( v_k \) to the \( k \)-dimensional volume of a straight \( k \)-simplex in hyperbolic space \( H^n \) provided \( k \neq 1 \).
6.1. GROMOV'S INVARIANT

Proof. It suffices to consider ideal simplices with all vertices on \( S_\infty \), since any finite simplex fits inside one of these. For \( k = 2 \), there is only one ideal simplex up to isometry. We have seen that 2 copies of the ideal triangle fit inside a compact surface (§3.9). Thus it has finite volume, which equals \( \pi \) by the Gauss-Bonnet theorem. When \( k = 3 \), there is an efficient formula for the computation of the volume of an ideal 3-simplex; see Milnor’s discussion of volumes in chapter 7. The volume of such simplices attains its unique maximum at the regular ideal simplex, which has all angles equal to 60°. Thus we have the values

6.1.5.
\[
\begin{align*}
v_2 &= 3.1415926 \ldots = \pi \\
v_3 &= 1.0149416 \ldots
\end{align*}
\]

It is conjectured that in general, \( v_k \) is the volume of the regular ideal \( k \)-simplex; if so, Milnor has computations for more values, and a good asymptotic formula as \( k \to \infty \). In lieu of a proof of this conjecture, an upper bound can be obtained for \( v_k \) from the inductive estimate

6.1.6.
\[
v_k < \frac{v_{k-1}}{k-1}.
\]

To prove this, consider any ideal \( k \)-simplex \( \sigma \) in \( H^k \). Arrange \( \sigma \) so that one of its vertices is the point at \( \infty \) in the upper half-space model, so that \( \sigma \) looks like a triangular chimney lying above a \( k - 1 \) face \( \sigma_0 \) of \( \sigma \).

Let \( dW^k \) be the Euclidean volume element, so hyperbolic volume is \( dV^k = (\frac{1}{x_k})^k dW^k \). Let \( \tau \) denote the projection of \( \sigma_0 \) to \( E^{n-1} \), and let \( h(x) \) denote the Euclidean height of \( \sigma_0 \) above the point \( x \in \tau \). The volume of \( \sigma \) is

\[
v(\sigma) = \int_{\tau} \int_{h}^{\infty} t^{-k} dt \, dW^{k-1}
\]
(where $dW^{k-1}$ is the Euclidean $k - 1$ volume element for $\tau$). Integrating, we obtain

$$(k - 1) v(\sigma) = \int_{\tau} h^{-(k-1)} dW^{k-1}.$$ 

The volume of $\sigma_0$ is obtained by a similar integral, where $dW^{k-1}$ is replaced by the Euclidean volume element for $\sigma$, which is never smaller than $dW^{k-1}$. We have $(k - 1) v(\sigma) < v(\sigma_0) \leq v_{k-1}$. \ \square

We are now ready to find non-trivial examples for Gromov's invariant:

**Corollary 6.1.7.** Every closed oriented hyperbolic manifold $M^n$ of dimension $n > 1$ satisfies the inequality

$$\| [M] \| \geq \frac{v(M)}{v_n}.$$ 

**Proof.** Let $\Omega$ be the hyperbolic volume form for $M$, so that $\int_M \Omega = v(M)$. If $z = \sum z_i \sigma_i$ is any straight cycle representing $[M]$, then

$$v(M) = \int_M \Omega = \sum z_i \int_{\Delta^\sigma} \sigma_i \Omega \leq \sum |z_i| v_n.$$ 

Dividing by $v_n$, we obtain $\|z\| \geq v(M)/v_n$. The infimum over all such $z$ gives 6.1.7 \ \square

A similar proof shows that the norm of element $0 \neq \alpha \in H_k(M, \mathbb{R})$ where $k \neq 1$ is non-zero. Instead of $\Omega$, use an $k$-form $\omega$ representing some multiple $\lambda \sigma$ such that $\omega$ has Riemannian norm $\leq 1$ at each point of $M$. (In fact, $\omega$ need only satisfy the inequality $\omega(V) \leq 1$ where $V$ is a simple $k$-vector of Riemannian norm 1.) Then the inequality $\|\alpha\| \geq \lambda/v_k$ is obtained.

Intuitively, Gromov's norm measures the efficiency with which multiples of a homology class can be represented by simplices. A complicated homology class needs many simplices.

Gromov proved the remarkable theorem that the inequality of 6.1.7 is actually equality. Instead of proving this, we will take the alternate approach to Gromov's theorem developed in [Milnor and Thurston, “Characteristic numbers for three-manifolds”], of changing the definition of $\|\|$ to one which is technically easier to work with. It can be shown that past and future definitions are equivalent. However, we have no further use for the first definition, 6.1.2, so henceforth we shall simply abandon it.

For any manifold $M$, let $C^1(\Delta^k, M)$ denote the space of maps of $\Delta^k$ to $M$, with the $C^1$ topology. We define a new notion of chains, where a $k$-chain is a Borel measure $\mu$ on $C^1(\Delta^k, M)$ with compact support and bounded total variation. [The total variation of a measure $\mu$ is $\|\mu\| = \sup \{ \int f \, d\mu \, | \, |f| \leq 1 \}$. Alternately, $\mu$ can be decomposed into a positive and negative part, $\mu = \mu_+ - \mu_-$ where $\mu_+$ and $\mu_-$ are...
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positive. Then \(\|\mu\| = \int d\mu_+ + \int d\mu_-\). Let the group of \(k\)-chains be denoted \(C_k(M)\). There is a map \(\partial : C_k(M) \to C_{k-1}(M)\), defined in an obvious way. It is not difficult to prove that the homology obtained by using these chains is the standard homology for \(M\); see [Milnor and Thurston, “Characteristic numbers for three-manifolds”] for more details. (Note that integration of a \(k\)-form over an element of \(C_k(M)\) is defined; this gives a map from \(C_*(M)\) to currents on \(M\). Some condition such as compact support for \(\mu\) is necessary; otherwise one would have pathological cycles such as \(\sum (\frac{1}{2})^2\sigma_i\), where \(\sigma_i\) wraps \(\Delta^1\) \(i\) times around \(S^1\). The measure has total variation \(\sum (\frac{1}{2})^2 < \infty\), yet the cycle would seem to represent the infinite multiple \(\sum (\frac{1}{2})[S^1]\) of \([S^1]\).)

**Definition 6.1.8** (Second definition). Let \(\alpha \in H^k(M;\mathbb{R})\), where \(M\) is a manifold. Gromov’s norm \(\|\alpha\|\) is defined to be

\[\|\alpha\| = \inf\{\|u\| \mid \mu \in C^k(M) \text{ represents } \alpha\}\.\]

**Theorem 6.2** (Gromov). Let \(M^n\) be any closed oriented hyperbolic manifold. Then

\[\| [M] \| = \frac{v(M^n)}{v_n}\.\]

**Proof.** The proof of corollary 6.1.7 works equally well with the new definition as with the old. The point is that the straightening operation is completely uniform, so it works with measure-cycles. What remains is to prove that \(\| [M] \| \leq v(M)/v_n\), or in other words, the fundamental cycle of \(M\) can be represented efficiently by a cycle using simplices which have (on the average) nearly maximal volume.

Let \(\sigma\) be any singular \(k\)-simplex in \(H^n\). A chain smear\(_M(\sigma) \in C_k(M)\) can be constructed, which is a measure supported on all isometric maps of \(\sigma\) into \(M\), weighted uniformly. With more notation, let \(h\) denote Haar measure on the group of orientation-preserving isometries of \(H^n\), \(\text{Isom}_+(H^n)\). Let \(h\) be normalized so that the measure of the set of isometries taking a point \(x \in H^n\) to a region \(R \subset H^n\) is the volume of \(R\). Haar measure on \(\text{Isom}_+(H^n)\) is invariant under both right and left multiplication, so it descends to a measure (also denoted \(h\)) on the quotient space \(P(M) = \pi_1 M \setminus \text{Isom}_+(H^n)\).

There is a map from \(P(M)\) to \(C^1(\Delta^k, M)\), which associates to a coset \(\pi_1 M \varphi\) the singular simplex \(p \circ \varphi \circ \sigma\), where \(p : H^n \to M\) is the covering projection. The measure \(h\) pushes forward to give a chain smear\(_M(\sigma) \in C_k(M)\). Since \(h\) is invariant on both sides, smear\(_M(\sigma)\) depends only on the isometry class of \(\sigma\). Smearing extends linearly to \(C_k(H^n)\). Furthermore, smear\(_M \partial c = \partial\) smear\(_M c\).

Let \(\sigma\) now be any straight simplex in \(H^n\), and \(\sigma_-\) a reflected copy of \(\sigma\). Then \(\frac{1}{2}\) smear\(_M(\sigma - \sigma_-)\) is a cycle, since the faces of \(\sigma\) and \(\sigma_-\) cancel out in pairs, up to isometries. We have

\[\|\frac{1}{2} \text{smear}_M(\sigma - \sigma_-)\| = v(M)\.
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The homology class of this cycle can be computed by integration of the hyperbolic form $\Omega$ from $M$. The integral over each copy of $\sigma$ is $v(\sigma)$, so the total integral is $v(M)v(\sigma)$. Thus, the cycle represents

$$\left[\frac{1}{2} \text{smear} (\sigma - \sigma_-)\right] = v(\sigma)[M]$$

so that

$$\|v(\sigma)[M]\| \leq v(M).$$

Dividing by $v(\sigma)$ and taking the infimum over $\sigma$, we obtain 6.2.

**Corollary 6.2.1.** If $f : M_1 \to M_2$ is any map between closed oriented hyperbolic $n$-manifolds, then

$$v(M_1) \geq |\deg f|v(M_2).$$

Gromov’s theorem can be generalized to any $(G, X)$-manifold, where $G$ acts transitively on $X$ with compact isotropy groups.

To do this, choose an invariant Riemannian metric for $X$ and normalize Haar measure on $G$ as before. The smearing operation works equally well, so that one has a chain map

$$\text{smear}_M : \mathcal{C}_k(X) \to \mathcal{C}_k(M).$$

In fact, if $N$ is a second $(G, X)$-manifold, one has a chain map

$$\text{smear}_{N,M} : \mathcal{C}_k(N) \to \mathcal{C}_k(M),$$

defined first on simplices in $N$ via a lift to $X$, and then extended linearly to all of $\mathcal{C}_k(N)$. If $z$ is any cycle representing $[N]$, then $\text{smear}_{N,M}(z)$ represents

$$(v(N)/v(M))[M].$$

This gives the inequality

$$\frac{\| [N] \|}{v(N)} \geq \frac{\| [M] \|}{v(M)}.$$

Interchanging $M$ and $N$, we obtain the reverse inequality, so we have proved the following result:

**Theorem 6.2.2.** For any pair $(G, X)$, where $G$ acts transitively on $X$ with compact isotropy groups and for any invariant volume form on $X$, there is a constant $C$ such that every closed oriented $(G, X)$-manifold $M$ satisfies

$$\| [M] \| = Cv(M),$$

(where $v(M)$ is the volume of $M$). 

\[ \square \]
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This line may be pursued still further. In a hyperbolic manifold a smeared \( k \)-cycle is homologically trivial except in dimension \( k = 0 \) or \( k = n \), but this is not generally true for other \((G, X)\)-manifolds when \( G \) does not act transitively on the frame bundle of \( X \). The invariant cohomology \( H^*_G(X) \) is defined to be the cohomology of the cochain complex of differential forms on \( X \) invariant by \( G \). If \( \alpha \) is any invariant cohomology class for \( X \), it defines a cohomology class \( \alpha_M \) on any \((G, X)\)-manifold \( M \). Let \( PD(\gamma) \) denote the Poincaré dual of a cohomology class \( \gamma \).

**Theorem 6.2.3.** There is a norm \( \| \| \) in \( H^*_G(X) \) such that for any closed oriented \((G, X)\)-manifold \( M \),

\[
\|PD(\alpha_M)\| = v(M)\|\alpha\|.
\]

**Proof.** It is an exercise to show that the map

\[
\text{smear}_{M, M} : H_*(M) \to H_*(M)
\]

is a retraction of the homology of \( M \) to the Poincaré dual of the image in \( M \) of \( H^*_G(X) \). The rest of the proof is another exercise.

In these variations, 6.2.2 and 6.2.3, on Gromov’s theorem, there does not seem to be any general relation between the proportionality constants and the maximal volume of simplices. However, the inequality 6.1.7 readily generalizes to any case when \( X \) possesses and invariant Riemannian metric of non-positive curvature.

**6.3. Gromov’s proof of Mostow’s Theorem**

Gromov gave a very quick proof of Mostow’s theorem for hyperbolic three-manifolds, based on 6.2. The proof would work for hyperbolic \( n \)-manifolds if it were known that the regular ideal \( n \)-simplex were the unique simplex of maximal volume. The proof goes as follows.

**Lemma 6.3.1.** If \( M_1 \) and \( M_2 \) are homotopy equivalent, closed, oriented hyperbolic manifolds, then \( v(M_1) = v(M_2) \).

**Proof.** This follows immediately by applying 6.2 to the homotopy equivalence \( M_1 \leftrightarrow M_2 \).

Let \( f_1 : M_1 \to M_2 \) be a homotopy equivalence and let \( \tilde{f}_1 : \tilde{M}_1 \to \tilde{M}_2 \) be a lift of \( f_1 \). From 5.9.5 we know that \( \tilde{f}_1 \) extends continuously to the sphere \( S^{n-1} \).

**Lemma 6.3.2.** If \( n = 3 \), \( \tilde{f}_1 \) takes every 4-tuple of vertices of a positively oriented regular ideal simplex to the vertices of a positively oriented regular ideal simplex.

This is now known to be true.
PROOF. Suppose the contrary. Then there is a regular ideal simplex $\sigma$ such that the volume of the simplex $\text{straight}(\tilde{f}_1\sigma)$ spanned by the image of its vertices is $v_3 - \epsilon$, with $\epsilon > 0$. There are neighborhoods of the vertices of $\sigma$ in the disk such that for any simplex $\sigma'$ with vertices in these neighborhoods, $v(\text{straight}(\tilde{f}_1\sigma')) \leq v_3 - \epsilon/2$. Then for every finite simplex $\sigma'_0$ very near to $\sigma$, this means that a definite Haar measure of the isometric copies $\sigma'_0$ near $\sigma'$ have $v(\text{straight}(\tilde{f}_1\sigma'_0)) < v_3 - \epsilon/2$. Such a simplex $\sigma'_0$ can be found with volume arbitrarily near $v_3$. But then the “total volume” of the cycle $z = \frac{1}{2}\text{smear}(\sigma'_0 - \sigma'_{0-})$ strictly exceeds the total volume of $\text{straight}(f_+z)$, contradicting 6.3.1.

To complete the proof of Mostow’s theorem in dimension 3, consider any ideal regular simplex $\sigma$ together with all images of $\sigma$ coming from repeated reflections in the faces of $\sigma$. The set of vertices of all these images of $\sigma$ is a dense subset of $S^2_\infty$. Once $\tilde{f}_1$ is known on three of the vertices of $\sigma$, it is determined on this dense set of points by 6.3.2, so $\tilde{f}_1$ must be a fractional linear transformation of $S^2_\infty$, conjugating the action of $\pi_1M_1$ to the action of $\pi_1M_2$. This completes Gromov’s proof of Mostow’s theorem.

In this proof, the fact that $f_1$ is a homotopy equivalence was used to show (a) that $v(M_1) = v(M_2)$ and (b) that $\tilde{f}_1$ extends to a map of $S^2_\infty$. With more effort, the proof can be made to work with only assumption (a):

**Theorem 6.4 (Strict version of Gromov’s theorem).** Let $f : M_1 \to M_2$ be any map of degree $\neq 0$ between closed oriented hyperbolic three-manifolds such that Gromov’s inequality $6.2.1$ is equality, i.e.,

$$v(M_1) = |\deg f| v(M_2).$$

Then $f$ is homotopic to a map which is a local isometry. If $|\deg f| = 1$, $f$ is a homotopy equivalence and otherwise it is homotopic to a covering map.

**Proof.** The first step in the proof is to show that a lift $\tilde{f}$ of $f$ to the universal covering spaces extends to $S^2_\infty$. Since the information in the hypothesis of 6.4 has to do with volume, not topology, we will know at first only that this extension is a measurable map of $S^2_\infty$. Then, the proof of Section 6.3 will be adapted to the current situation.

The proof works most smoothly if we have good information about the asymptotic behavior of volumes of simplices. Let $\sigma_E$ be a regular simplex in $H^3$ all of whose edge lengths are $E$.

**Theorem 6.4.1.** The volume of $\sigma_E$ differs from the maximal volume $v_3$ by a quantity which decreases exponentially with $E$. 
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Proof.

Construct copies of simplices $\sigma_E$ centered at a point $x_0 \in H^3$ by drawing the four rays from a point $x_0$ through the vertices of an ideal regular simplex $\sigma_\infty$ centered at $x_0$. The simplex whose vertices are on these rays, a distance $D$ from $x_0$, is isometric to $\sigma_E$ for some $E$. Let $C$ be the distance from $x_0$ to any face of this simplex. The derivative $dv(\sigma_E)/dD$ is less than the area of $\partial \sigma_E$ times the maximal normal velocity of a face of $\sigma_E$. If $\alpha$ is the angle between such a face and the ray through $x_0$, we have

$$\frac{dv(\sigma_E)}{dD} < 2\pi \sin \alpha.$$ 

From the hyperbolic law of sines (2.6.16) $\sin \alpha = \sinh C / \sinh D$, showing that $dv(\sigma_I)/dD$ decreases exponentially with $D$ (since $\sinh C$ is bounded). The corresponding statement for $E$ follows since asymptotically, $E \sim 2D + \text{constant}$. \hfill $\square$

6.14

Lemma 6.4.2. Any simplex with volume close to $v_3$ has all dihedral angles close to $60^\circ$.

Proof. Such a simplex is properly contained in an ideal simplex with any two face planes the same, so with one common dihedral angle. 6.4.2 follows form ??? 

Lemma 6.4.3. There is some constance $C$ such that for every simplex $\sigma$ with volume near $v_3$ and for any angle $\beta$ on a face of $\sigma$,

$$v_3 - v(\sigma) \geq C\beta^2.$$ 

Proof. If the vertex $v$ has a face angle of $\beta$, first enlarge $\sigma$ so that the other three vertices are at $\infty$, without changing a neighborhood of $v$. Now prolong one of
the edges through \( v \) to \( S^2_\infty \), and push \( v \) out along this edge. The new spike added to \( \sigma \) beyond \( v \) has thickness at \( v \) estimated by a linear function of \( \beta \) (from 2.6.12), so its volume is estimated by a quadratic function of \( \beta \). (This uses the fact that a cross-section of the spike is approximately an equilateral triangle.)

**Lemma 6.4.4.** For every point \( x_0 \) in \( M_1 \), and almost every ray \( r \) through \( x_0 \), \( f_1(r) \) converges to a point on \( S^2_\infty \).

**Proof.** Let \( x_0 \in H^3 \), and let \( r \) be some ray emanating from \( x_0 \). Let the simplex \( \sigma_i \) (with all edges having length \( i \)) be placed with a vertex at \( x_0 \) and with one edge on \( r \), and let \( \tau_i \) be a simplex agreeing with \( \sigma_i \) in a neighborhood of \( x_0 \) but with the edge on \( r \) lengthened, to have length \( i + 1 \).

The volume of \( \sigma_i \) and \( \tau_i \supset \sigma_i \) deviate from the supremal value by an amount \( \epsilon_i \) decreasing exponentially with \( i \), so \( \text{smear}_{M_1} \sigma_i \) and \( \text{smear}_{M_1} \tau_i \) are very efficient cycles representing a multiple of \([M_1]\). Since \( \nu(M_1) = |\deg f| \nu(M_2) \), the cycles \( \text{straight } f_* \text{smear}_{M_1} \sigma_i \) and \( \text{straight } f_* \text{smear}_{M_1} \tau_i \) must also be very efficient. In other words, for all but a set of measure at most \( \nu(M_1) \epsilon_i / v_3 \) of simplices \( \sigma \) in \( \text{smear } \sigma_i \) (or near \( \text{smear } \tau_i \)), the simplex \( \text{straight } f_* \sigma \) must have volume \( \geq v_3 - \epsilon_i \).

Let \( B \) be a ball around \( x_0 \) which embeds in \( M_i \). The chains \( \text{smear}_B \sigma_i \) and \( \text{smear}_B \tau_i \) correspond to the measure for \( \text{smear}_M \sigma_i \) and \( \text{smear}_M \tau_i \) restricted to those singular simplices with the first vertex in the image of \( B \) in \( M_1 \). Thus for all but a set of measure at most \( (2v(M_1)/v_3) \sum_{i=i_0}^{\infty} \epsilon_i \) of isometries \( I \) with take \( x_0 \) to \( B \), all simplices \( I(\sigma_i) \) and \( I(\tau_i) \) for all \( i > i_0 \) are mapped to simplices \( \text{straight } \tilde{f} \text{smear}_B \sigma \) with volume \( \geq v_3 - \epsilon_i \). By 6.4.3, the sum of all face angles of the image simplices is a geometrically convergent series. It follows that for all but a set of small measure of rays \( r \) emanating from points in \( B \), \( \tilde{f}(r) \) converges to a point on \( S^2_\infty \); in fact, by letting \( i_0 \to \infty \), it follows that for almost every ray \( r \) emanating from points in \( B \), \( \tilde{f}(r) \) converges. Then there must be a point \( x' \) in \( B \) such that for almost every ray \( r \) emanating from \( x' \), \( \tilde{f}(r) \) converges. Since each ray emanating from a point in \( H^3 \) is asymptotic to some ray emanating from \( x' \), this holds for rays through all points in \( H^3 \).
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Remark. This measurable extension of \( \tilde{f} \) to \( S_2^2 \) actually exists under very general circumstances, with no assumption on the volume of \( M_1 \) and \( M_2 \). The idea is that if \( g \) is a geodesic in \( M_1 \), \( \tilde{f}(g) \) behaves like a random walk on \( M_2 \). Almost every random walk in hyperbolic space converges to a point on \( S_{n-1}^n \). (Moral: always carry a map when you are in hyperbolic space!)

Lemma 6.4.5. The measurable extension of \( \tilde{f} \) to \( S_\infty^2 \) carries the vertices of almost every positively oriented ideal regular simplex to the vertices of another positively oriented ideal regular simplex.

Proof. Consider a point \( x_0 \) in \( H^3 \) and a ball \( B \) about \( x_0 \) which embeds in \( M \), as before. Let \( \sigma_i \) be centered at \( x_0 \). As before, for almost all isometries \( I \) which take \( x_0 \) to \( B \), the sequence \( \{ \text{straight } \tilde{f} \circ I \circ \sigma_i \} \) has volume converging to \( v_3 \), and all four vertices converging to \( S_2^1 \).

If for almost all \( I \) these four vertices converge to distinct points, we are done. Otherwise, there is a set of positive measure of ideal regular simplices such that the image of the vertex set of \( \sigma \) is degenerate: either all four vertices are mapped to the same point, or three are mapped to one point and the fourth to an arbitrary point.

We will show this is absurd. If the degenerate cases occur

with positive measure, there is some pair of points \( v_0 \) and \( v_1 \) with \( \tilde{f}(v_0) = \tilde{f}(v_1) \) such that for almost all regular ideal simplices spanned by \( v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3 \), either \( \tilde{f}(v_2) = \tilde{f}(v_0) \) or \( \tilde{f}(v_3) = \tilde{f}(v_0) \). Thus, there is a set \( A \) of positive measure with \( \tilde{f}(A) \) a single point. Almost every regular ideal simplex with two vertices in \( A \) has one other vertex in \( A \). It is easy to conclude that \( A \) must be the entire sphere. (One method is to use ergodicity as in the proof of 6.4 which will follow.) The image point \( \tilde{f}(A) \) is invariant under covering transformations of \( M_1 \). This implies that the image of \( \pi_1 M_1 \) in \( \pi_1 M_2 \) has a fixed point on \( S_\infty \), which is absurd.

We resume the proof of 6.4 here. It follows from 6.4.5 that there is a vertex \( v_0 \) such that for almost all regular ideal simplices spanned by \( v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3 \), the image vertices span a regular ideal simplex. Arrange \( v_0 \) and \( \tilde{f}(v_0) \) to be the point at infinity in the upper half-space model. Three other points \( v_1, v_2, v_3 \) span a regular ideal simplex with \( v_0 \) if and only if they span an equilateral triangle in the plane, \( E^2 \). By changing coordinates, we may assume that \( f \) maps vertices of almost all equilateral triangles parallel to the \( x \)-axis to the vertices of an equilateral triangle in the plane. In complex
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notation, let \( \omega = \sqrt{-1} \), so that \( 0, 1, \omega \) span an equilateral triangle. For almost all \( z \in \mathbb{C} \), the entire countable set of triangles spanned by vertices of the form \( z + 2^{-k}n \), \( z + 2^{-k}(n + 1) \), \( z + 2^{-k}(n + \omega) \), for \( k, n \in \mathbb{Z} \), are mapped to equilateral triangles.

Then the map \( \tilde{f} \) must take the form

\[
\tilde{f}(z + 2^{-k}(n + m\omega)) = g(z) + h(z) \cdot 2^{-k}(n + m\omega), \quad k, n, m \in \mathbb{Z},
\]

for almost all \( z \). The function \( h \) is invariant a.e. by the dense group \( T \) of translations of the form \( z \mapsto z + 2^{-k}(n + m\omega) \). This group is ergodic, so \( h \) is constant a.e. Similar reasoning now shows that \( g \) is constant a.e., so that \( f \) is essentially a fractional linear transformation on the sphere \( S^2 \). Since \( \tilde{f} \circ T_\alpha = T_{f_\alpha} \circ \tilde{f} \), this shows that \( \pi_1 M_1 \) is conjugate, in \( \text{Isom}(H^3) \), to a subgroup of \( \pi_1 M_2 \).

### 6.5. Manifolds with Boundary

There is an obvious way to extend Gromov’s invariant to manifolds with boundary, as follows. If \( M \) is a manifold and \( A \subset M \) a submanifold, the relative chain group \( \mathcal{C}_k(M, A) \) is defined to be the quotient \( \mathcal{C}_k(M)/\mathcal{C}_k(A) \). The norm on \( \mathcal{C}_k(M) \) goes over to a norm on \( \mathcal{C}_k(M, A) \): the norm \( \| \mu \| \) of an element of \( \mathcal{C}_k(M, A) \) is the total variation of \( \mu \) restricted to the set of singular simplices that do not lie in \( A \). The norm \( \| \gamma \| \) of a homology class \( \gamma \in H_k(M, A) \) is defined, as before, to be the infimal norm of relative cycles representing \( \gamma \). Gromov’s invariant of a compact, oriented manifold with boundary \((M, \partial M)\) is \( \| [M, \partial M] \| \), where \( [M, \partial M] \) denotes the relative fundamental cycle.

There is a second interesting definition which makes sense in an important special case. For concreteness, we shall deal only with the case of three-manifold whose boundary consists of tori. For such a manifold \( M \), define

\[
\| [M, \partial M] \|_0 = \lim_{a \to 0} \inf \{ \| z \| \mid z \text{ straight } [M, \partial M] \text{ and } \| \partial Z \| \leq a \}.
\]

Observe that \( \partial z \) represents the fundamental cycle of \( \partial M \), so that a necessary condition for this definition to make sense is that \( \| [\partial M] \| = 0 \). This is true in the present situation that \( \partial M \) consists of tori, since the torus admits self-maps of degree \( > 1 \).
6.5. MANIFOLDS WITH BOUNDARY

Then $\|(M, \partial M)\|_0$ is the limit of a non-decreasing sequence, so to insure the existence of the limit we need only find an upper bound. This involves a special property of the torus.

**Proposition 6.5.1.** There is a constant $K$ such that $z$ is any homologically trivial cycle in $\mathbb{C}_2(T^2)$, then $z$ bounds a chain $c$ with $\|c\| \leq K\|z\|$.

**Proof.** Triangulate $T^2$ (say, with two “triangles” and a single vertex). Partition $T^2$ into disjoint contractible neighborhoods of the vertices. Consider first the case that no simplices in the support of $z$ have large diameter. Then there is a chain homotopy of $z$ to its simplicial approximation $a(z)$. The chain homotopy has a norm which is a bounded multiple of the norm of $z$. Since simplicial singular chains form a finite dimensional vector space, $a(z)$ is homologous to zero by a homology whose norm is a bounded multiple of the norm of $a(z)$. This gives the desired result when the simplices of $z$ are not large. In the general case, pass to a very large cover $\tilde{T}^2$ of $T^2$. For any finite sheeted covering space $p: \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ there is a canonical chain map, transfer: $\mathbb{C}_*(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_*(\tilde{M})$. The transfer of a singular simplex is simply the average of its lifts to $\tilde{M}$; this extends in an obvious way to measures on singular simplices. Clearly $p \circ \text{transfer} = \text{id}$, and $\|\text{transfer } c\| = \|c\|$. If $z$ is any cycle on $T^2$, then for a sufficiently large finite cover $\tilde{T}^2$ of $T^2$, the transfer of $z$ to $\tilde{T}^2 = T^2$ has no large 2-simplices in its support. Then transfer $z$ is the boundary of a chain $c$ with $\|c\| \leq K\|z\|$ for some fixed $K$. The projection of $c$ back to the base space completes the proof. \[ \square \]

6.21 We now have upper bounds for $\|[M, \partial M]\|_0$. In fact, let $z$ be any cycle representing $[M, \partial M]$, and let $\epsilon$ be any cycle representing $[\partial M]$. By piecing together $z$ with a homology from $\partial z$ to $\epsilon$ given by 6.5.1, we find a cycle $z'$ representing $[M, \partial M]$ with $\|z'\| \leq \|z\| + K(\|\partial z\| + \|\epsilon\|)$. Passing to the limit as $\|\epsilon\| \rightarrow 0$, we find that $\|[M, \partial M]\| \leq \|z\| + K\|\partial z\|$.

The usefulness of the definition of $\|[M, \partial M]\|_0$ arises from the easy
**Proposition 6.5.2.** Let \((M, \partial M)\) be a compact oriented three-manifold, not necessarily connected, with \(\partial M\) consisting of tori. Suppose \((N, \partial N)\) is an oriented manifold obtained by gluing together certain pairs of boundary components of \(M\). Then
\[ \| [N, \partial N]\|_0 \leq \| [M, \partial M]\|_0. \]

**Corollary 6.5.3.** If \((S, \partial S)\) is any Seifert fiber space, then
\[ \| [S, \partial S]\|_0 = \| [S, \partial S]\| = 0. \]
(The case \(\partial S = \phi\) is included.)

**Proof of Corollary.** If \(S\) is a circle bundle over a connected surface \(M\) with non-empty boundary, then \(S\) (or a double cover of it, if the fibers are not oriented) is \(M \times S^1\). Since it covers itself non-trivially its norm (in either sense) is 0. If \(S\) is a circle bundle over a closed surface \(M\), it is obtained by identification of \((M - D^2) \times S^1\) with \(D^2 \times S^1\), so its norm is also zero. If \(S\) is a Seifert fibration, it is obtained by identifying solid torus neighborhoods of the singular fibers with the complement which is a fibration.

**Proof of 6.5.2.** A cycle \(z\) representing \([M, \partial M]\) with \(\| \partial z\| \leq \epsilon\) goes over to a chain on \([N, \partial N]\), which can be corrected to be a cycle \(z'\) with \(\| z'\| \leq \| z\| + K\epsilon\).

If \(M\) is a complete oriented hyperbolic manifold with finite total volume, recall that \(M\) is the interior of a compact manifold \(M\) with boundary consisting of tori. Both \(\| [\bar{M}, \partial \bar{M}]\|\) and \(\| [\bar{M}, \partial \bar{M}]\|_0\) can be computed in this case:

**Lemma 6.5.4 (Relative version of Gromov’s Theorem).** If \(M\) is a complete oriented hyperbolic three-manifold with finite volume, then
\[ \| [\bar{M}, \partial \bar{M}]\|_0 = \|[\bar{M}, \partial \bar{M}]\| = \frac{v(M)}{v_3}. \]

**Proof.** Let \(\sigma\) be a 3-simplex whose volume is nearly the maximal value, \(v_3\). Then \(\text{smear}_M \sigma\) is a measure on singular cycles with non-compact support. Restrict this measure to simplices not contained in \(M_{(0, \epsilon]}\), and project to \(M_{(\epsilon, \infty)}\) by a retraction of \(M\) to \(M_{(\epsilon, \infty)}\). Since the volume of \(M_{(\epsilon, \infty)}\) is small for small \(\epsilon\), this gives a relative fundamental cycle \(z'\) for
\[ (M_{(\epsilon, \infty)}, \partial M_{(\epsilon, \infty)}) = (\bar{M}, \partial \bar{M}) \]
with \(\| z'\| \approx \frac{v(M)}{v_3}\) and with \(\| \partial z'\|\) small. This proves that
\[ \frac{v(M)}{v_3} \geq \| [\bar{M}, \partial \bar{M}]\|_0. \]
There is an immediate inequality

\[ \| [\tilde{M}, \partial \tilde{M}] \|_0 \geq \| [\tilde{M}, \partial \tilde{M}] \|. \]

To complete the proof, we will show that \( \| [\tilde{M}, \partial \tilde{M}] \| \geq \nu(M)/\nu_3 \). This is done by a straightening operation, as in 6.1.7. For this, note that if \( \sigma \) is any simplex lying in \( M_{(0,\varepsilon)} \), then \( \text{straight}(\sigma) \) also lies in \( M_{(0,\varepsilon)} \), since \( M_{(0,\varepsilon)} \) is convex. Hence we obtain a chain map

\[ \text{straight} : C_* (M, M_{(0,\varepsilon)}) \to C_* (M, M_{(0,\varepsilon)}), \]

chain homotopic to the identity, and not increasing norms. As in 6.1.7, this gives the inequality

\[ \| [M, M_{(0,\varepsilon)}] \| \geq \frac{\nu(M_{(\varepsilon,\infty)})}{\nu_3}. \]

Since for small \( \varepsilon \) there is a chain isomorphism between \( C_k (M, M_{(0,\varepsilon)}) \) and \( C_k (\tilde{M}, \partial \tilde{M}) \) which is a \( \| \| \)-isometry, this proves 6.5.4.

Here is an inequality which enables one to compute Gromov’s invariant for much more general three-manifolds:

**Theorem 6.5.5.** Suppose \( M \) is a closed oriented three-manifold and \( H \subset M \) is a three-dimensional submanifold with a complete hyperbolic structure of finite volume. Suppose \( \tilde{H} \) is embedded in \( M \) and that \( \partial \tilde{H} \) is incompressible. Then

\[ \| [M] \| \geq \frac{\nu(H)}{\nu_3}. \]

**Remark.** Of course, the hypothesis that \( \partial \tilde{H} \) is incompressible is necessary; otherwise \( M \) might be \( S^3 \). If \( H \) were not hyperbolic, further hypotheses would be needed to obtain an inequality. Consider, for instance, the product \( M_g \times I \) where \( M_g \) is a surface of genus \( g > 1 \). Then \( \| [M_g] \| = 2 \nu(M_g)/\pi = 4 |\chi(M_g)| \), so

\[ \| [M_g \times I, \partial (M_g \times I)] \| \geq \| [M_g] \| \geq 4 |\chi(M_g)|. \]

On the other hand, one can identify the boundary of this manifold to obtain \( M_g \times S^1 \), which has norm 0. The boundary can also be identified to obtain hyperbolic manifolds (see §4.6, or § ). Since finite covers of arbitrarily high degree and with arbitrarily high norm can also be obtained by gluing the boundary of the same manifold, no useful inequality is obtained in either direction.

**Proof.** Since this is a digression, we give only a sketch of a proof.
With 6.5.5 combined with 6.5.2, one can compute Gromov’s invariant for any manifold which is obtained from Seifert fiber spaces and complete hyperbolic manifolds of finite volume by identifying along incompressible tori.

The strict and relative versions of Gromov’s theorems may be combined; here is the most interesting case:

**THEOREM 6.5.6.** Suppose $M_1$ is a complete hyperbolic manifold of finite volume and that $M_2 \neq M_1$ is a complete hyperbolic manifold obtained topologically by replacing certain cusps of $M_2$ by solid tori. Then $v(M_1) > v(M_2)$.

**Proof.** No new ideas are needed. Consider some map $f : m_1 \to M_2$ which collapses certain components of $M_1$ to short geodesics in $M_2$. Now apply the proof of 6.4.

**6.6. Ordinals**

Closed oriented surfaces can be arranged very neatly in a single sequence,

\[ \chi = 2 \quad \chi = 0 \quad \chi = -2 \quad \chi = -4 \quad \ldots \]

in terms of their Euler characteristic. What happens when we arrange all hyperbolic three-manifolds in terms of their volume? From Jørgensen’s theorem, 5.12 it
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follows that the set of volumes is a closed subset of $\mathbb{R}_+$. Furthermore, by combining Jørgensen’s theorem with the relative version of Gromov’s theorem, 6.5.4, we obtain

**Corollary 6.6.1.** The set of volumes of hyperbolic three-manifolds is well-ordered.

**Proof.** Let $v(M_1) \geq v(M_2) \geq \ldots \geq v(M_k) \geq \ldots$ be any non-ascending sequence of volumes. By Jørgensen’s theorem, by passage to a subsequence we may assume that the sequence $\{M_i\}$ converges geometrically to a manifold $M$, with $v(M) \leq \lim v(M_i)$. By 6.5.2, eventually $\| [M_i] \|_0 \leq \| [M] \|_0$, so 6.5.4 implies that the sequence of volumes is eventually constant.

**Corollary 6.6.2.** The volume is a finite-to-one function of hyperbolic manifolds.

**Proof.** Use the proof of 6.6.1, but apply the strict inequality 6.5.6 in place of 6.5.2, to show that a convergent sequence of manifolds with non-increasing volume must be eventually constant.

In view of these results, the volumes of complete hyperbolic manifolds are indexed by countable ordinals. In other words, there is a smallest volume $v_1$, a next smallest volume $v_2$, and so forth. This sequence $v_1 < v_2 < v_3 < \ldots < v_k < \ldots$ has a limit point $v_\omega$, which is the smallest volume of a complete hyperbolic manifold with one cusp. The next smallest manifold with one cusp has volume $v_{2\omega}$. It is a limit of manifolds with volumes $v_{\omega+1}$, $v_{\omega+2}$, $\ldots$, $v_{\omega+k}$, $\ldots$. The first volume of a manifold with two cusps is $v_{\omega^2}$, and so forth. (See the discussion on pp. 5.59–5.60, as well as Theorem 6.5.6.) The set of all volumes has order type $\omega^\omega$. These volumes are indexed by the ordinals less than $\omega^\omega$, which are represented by polynomials in $\omega$. Each volume of a manifold with $k$ cusps is indexed by an ordinal of the form $\alpha \cdot \omega^k$, (where the product $\alpha \cdot \beta$ is the ordinal corresponding to the order type obtained by replacing each element of $\alpha$ with a copy of $\beta$). There are examples where $\alpha$ is a limit ordinal. These can be constructed from coverings of link complements. For instance, the Whitehead link complement has two distinct 2-fold covers; one has two cusps and the other has three, so the common volume corresponds to an ordinal divisible by $\omega^3$. I do not know any examples of closed manifolds corresponding to limit ordinals.

It would be very interesting if a computer study could determine some of the low volumes, such as $v_1, v_2, v_\omega, v_{\omega^2}$. It seems plausible that some of these might come from Dehn surgery on the Borromean rings.

There is some constant $C$ such that every manifold with $k$ cusps has volume $\geq C \cdot k$. This follows from the analysis in 5.11.2: the number of boundary components of $M_{[\epsilon, \infty)}$ is bounded by the number of disjoint $\epsilon/2$ balls which can fit in $M$. It would be interesting to calculate or estimate the best constant $C$. 
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Corollary 6.6.3. The set of values of Gromov’s invariant $\| [\Gamma] \|_0$ on the class of connected manifolds obtained from Seifert fiber spaces and complete hyperbolic manifolds of finite volume by identifying along incompressible tori is a closed well-ordered subset of $\mathbb{R}^+\setminus 0$, with order type $\omega^\omega$.

We shall see later (§ 9) that this class contains all Haken manifolds with toral boundaries.

Proof. Extend the volume function to $v(M) = v_3 \cdot \| [M] \|_0$ when $M$ is not hyperbolic. From 6.5.5 and 6.5.2, we know that every value of $v$ is a finite sum of volumes of hyperbolic manifolds. Suppose $\{w_i\}$ is a bounded sequence of values of $v$. Express each $w_i$ as the sum of volumes of hyperbolic pieces of a manifold $M_i$ with $v(M_i) = w_i$. The number of terms is bounded, since there is a lower bound to the volume of a hyperbolic manifold, so we may pass to an infinite subsequence where the number of terms in this expression is constant. Since every infinite sequence of ordinals has an infinite non-decreasing subsequence, we may pass to a subsequence of $w_i$’s where all terms in these expressions are non-decreasing. This proves that the set of values of $v$ is well-ordered. Furthermore, our subsequence has a limit $w = v_{\alpha_1} + \cdots + v_{\alpha_k}$, which is expressed as a sum of limits of non-decreasing sequences of volumes. Each $v_{\alpha_j}$ is the volume of a hyperbolic manifold $M_j$ with at least as many cusps as the limiting number of cusps of the corresponding hyperbolic piece of $M_i$. Therefore, the $M_j$’s may be glued together to obtain a manifold $M$ with $v(M) = w$. This shows the set of values of $v$ is closed. The fact that the order type is $\omega^\omega$ can be deduced easily by showing that every value of $v$ is not in the $k$-th derived set, for some integer $k$; in fact, $k \leq v/C$, where $C$ is the constant just discussed. 

6.7. Commensurability

Definition 6.7.1. If $\Gamma_1$ and $\Gamma_2$ are two discrete subgroups of isometries of $H^n$, then $\Gamma_1$ is commensurable with $\Gamma_2$ if $\Gamma_1$ is conjugate (in the group of isometries of $H^n$) to a group $\Gamma_1'$ such that $\Gamma_1' \cap \Gamma_2$ has finite index in $\Gamma_1'$ and in $\Gamma_2$.

Definition 6.7.2. Two manifolds $M_1$ and $M_2$ are commensurable if they have finitely sheeted covers $\widetilde{M}_1$ and $\widetilde{M}_2$ which are homeomorphic.

Commensurability in either sense is an equivalence relation, as the reader may easily verify.

Example 6.7.3. If $W$ is the Whitehead link and $B$ is the Borromean rings, then $S^3 - W$ has a four-sheeted cover homeomorphic with a two sheeted cover of $S^3 - B$:
The homeomorphism involves cutting along a disk, twisting 360° and gluing back. Thus \( S^3 - W \) and \( S^3 - B \) are commensurable. One can see that \( \pi_1(S^3 - W) \) and \( \pi_1(S^3 - B) \) are commensurable as discrete subgroups of \( \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \) by considering the tiling of \( H^3 \) by regular ideal octahedra. Both groups preserve this tiling, so they are contained in the full group of symmetries of the octahedral tiling, with finite index. Therefore, they intersect each other with finite index.

\[
\pi_1(S^3 - B) \subset \text{Symmetries (octahedral tiling)} \supset \pi_1(S^3 - W)
\]

\[
\pi_1(S^3 - B) \supset \pi_1(S^3 - B) \cap \pi_1(S^3 - W) \subset \pi_1(S^3 - W)
\]

**Warning.** Two groups \( \Gamma_1 \) and \( \Gamma_2 \) can be commensurable, and yet not be conjugate to subgroups of finite index in a single group.

**Proposition 6.7.3.** If \( M_1 \) is a complete hyperbolic manifold with finite volume and \( M_2 \) is commensurable with \( M_1 \), then \( M_2 \) is homotopy equivalent to a complete hyperbolic manifold.

**Proof.** This is a corollary of Mostow’s theorem. Under the hypotheses, \( M_2 \) has a finite cover \( M_3 \) which is hyperbolic. \( M_3 \) has a finite cover \( M_4 \) which is a regular cover of \( M_2 \), so that \( \pi_1(M_4) \) is a normal subgroup of \( \pi_1(M_2) \). Consider the action